## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

Vincent Jerode Beaton, a/k/a Vincent J. Beaton,

C/A No. 9:17-0025-CMC

Petitioner,

v.

**Opinion and Order** 

Warden McFadden,

Respondent.

This matter is before the court on Petitioner's *pro se* petition filed in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254 on January 4, 2017. ECF No. 1. Petitioner appears to challenge his conviction for murder in the Court of General Sessions in Charleston County.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(c), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation. On April 18, 2017, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending this matter be dismissed. ECF No. 16. The Magistrate Judge advised Petitioner of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Petitioner filed no objections within the time for doing so, and his copy of the Report was not returned to the court.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge,

or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life* & *Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.") (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After reviewing the record, the applicable law, and the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court finds no clear error. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and Recommendation by reference into this Order. This matter is dismissed without prejudice and without requiring Respondent to file a return.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan CurrieCAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIESenior United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina May 17, 2017